

Ministry of higher education And scientific research University of diyala College of medicine 2020 – 2021

# A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on the Association betweenHypertension and Tinnitus

Submitted to the Council of the College of Medicine, Diyala University, In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements for the Bachelor Degree in medicine

**Prepared by :** 

# Zainab Othman Ahmed

**Supervision by :** 

Dr. Qais Jafeer Altimimi

#### Abstract

Tinnitus is considered a multi-factorial symptom. Hypertension is suggested to be one possible risk factor of tinnitus, To assess the scientific evidence on the link between hypertension and tinnitus. The authors performed a meta-analysis of these studies on the association between hypertension and tinnitus. We performed literature search of studies using SinoMed, CNKI, WanFang, PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Studies reported the odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI) (or provided sufficient information for calculation) of the association between hypertension and tinnitus were included. A total of 19 eligible studies with 20 effect estimates were used in the study. They included 63,154 participants with age rang from 14 to 92. The pooled OR, which was pooled using a random effects method, was 1.37 (95% CI: 1.16 to 1.62). There was no evidence of publication bias (p = 0.11 for Begg's test, p = 0.96 for Egger's test). By meta-regression, found that study may be one possible factor of heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis found that the result was stable. This study suggests that hypertension may be one risk factor of tinnitus, and hypertension treatment, prevention and control might be helpful in preventing tinnitus.

#### Introduction

Tinnitus is the Perceptual sensation of sound in the absence of an external acoustic stimulus [1]. It is a very annoying symptom for many patients as it has

an affect on the physical and mental health in varies degree. The patients they have severe tinnitus may even suicide [2].

Hypertension has been suggested as one possible risk factor of tinnitus in most researches, but some other studies showed another results. Thirunavukkarasu and Geetha's ret- rospective study [3] showed that hypertension is high risk factors for tinnitus. Nondahl et al.'s study [4] of ten-year incidence of tinnitus showed that is hypertension was not associated with the incidence of tinnitus. Negrila-Mezei et al.'s case-control study [5] based on 471 ear-nose-throat department patients showed that hypertension was significantly associated with tinnitus, but de Moraes Marchiori's case-control study [6] did not find any evidence association between hypertension and tinnitus.

Some cross-sectional surveys [7, 8] showed that hypertension was a risk of tinnitus, but some other cross-sectional surveys [9, 10] did not find any significant association. It is important to elucidate and quantify the association between hyper- tension and tinnitus. If the association holds, prevention and control of hypertension should then be included in the prevention measures of tinnitus.

In this study, we will show a meta-analysis of the tinnitus risk associated with hypertension. We also performed a meta-regression to examine possible sources of heterogeneity between the studies and examined the influence of single study on the overall meta-estimate.

#### Methods

2.1. Literature Search. Eligible studies were searched used databases. These included SinoMed, CNKI, Wan- Fang, PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Search strategies used subject headings and key words and did not have language and time restrictions. The presence of tinnitus was defined as answering "yes" to the question "In the past 12 months, have you ever heard a

sound (buzzing, hissing, ringing, humming, roaring, machinery noise) originating in your ears?" or similar question in different phrase. Hypertension (high blood pressure) was defined as a systolic blood pressure (SBP)  $\geq$  140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP)  $\geq$  90 mmHg or reported use of antihypertensive medication [11].

The studies were identified by combining the term "tinni- tus" with other terms, such as hypertension, blood pressure, prevalence, risk factors, epidemiology, and characterization, which indicated that the study might provide the relevant information on the association between hypertension and tinnitus, such as OR (odds ratio) and 95% confidence inter- vals (95% CIs) or relevant data to calculate OR and 95% CIs. We also examined reference lists of the all identified studies and reviewed the cited literatures to identify any other relevant studies.

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. Studies were included in the current metaanalysis if they provided the information to examine the relation between hypertension and tinni- tus (OR and 95% CIs or relevant data to calculate OR and 95% CIs). Studies which reported one specific type of tinnitus (such as left ear tinnitus and pulsatile tinnitus) were excluded.

2.3. Meta-Analysis. To estimate the quantitative relationship between hypertension and tinnitus, we obtained estimates of the OR and 95% CIs from relevant studies. We used a random-effects model to combine estimates from the iden- tified studies, which allowed between-study heterogeneity to contribute to the variance [12]. We assessed homogeneity of ORs with *I*2 value which represented the estimated percent of total variance that could be explained by between- study heterogeneity [13]. Publication bias was assessed using Begg's test [14] and Egger's test [15]. Begg's funnel plot and Egger's publication bias. Meta-regression was used to explore whether the inconsistency in results across individual studies could be explained by variations in publication year, region (American and others), sample size, study design (case-control study and cross-

sectional study), and confounder adjustment (the sets of potential confounders for which adjustment was made varied by study; we just assessed the difference between studies with adjusted ORs and studies with crude ORs) [16]. We performed both univariate and multivariate meta-regression analysis. Finally, we performed sensitivity analysis to examine the influence of individual studies, in which the meta-analysis estimates were derived by omitting one study at a time.

### Results

Of a total of 515 studies identified from the search strategy, 187 were epidemiological studies and 328 studies were literature

reviews, experimental studies, clinical treatments, or others and were excluded from the analysis. We chose 20 studies reporting OR and 95% CIs of the association between hyper- tension and tinnitus or providing sufficient data for relevant calculation. Of the 20 studies, one study reported one specific type of tinnitus (left ear tinnitus, right ear tinnitus) and was excluded. Finally, we included a total of 19 studies which provided suitable information for the subsequent analysis (Figure 1).



FIGURE 1: Flow diagram on the search process.

We identified 3 case-control studies and 16 cross-sectional studies. Twenty population samples from 19 studies (Fujii et al.'s study [8] provided both men and women information) provided sufficient data for a meta-analysis.. Overall, this study included 63,154 participants from Italy, South Korea, China, Nigeria, USA, Brazil, Japan, Romania, Turkey, Aus- tralian, and Chile, the age of the subjects ranged from 14 to 92 years, sample size of the studies ranged between 120 and 14,178, and OR ranged between 0.73 (95% CI: 0.35 to 1.53) and 12.14 (95% CI: 5.04 to 29.23).

Figure 2 showed the forest plot of 20 effect estimates from 19 studies. Of them, eight studies showed a significant positive association between hypertension and tinnitus. The overall pooled OR was 1.37 (95% CI: 1.16 to 1.62).



FIGURE 2: Forest plot of the associations between hypertension and tinnitus (OR and 95% CI indicate odds ratio and 95% confidence interval).

Publication bias was not detected by Begg's test (p = 0.11) (Figure 3) and Egger's test (p = 0.96) (Figure 4).



FIGURE 3: Begg's funnel plot for meta-analysis.



The heterogeneity test was significant (Q = 155.06, p < 0.001, I2 = 87.7%, and Tau-squared = 0.103). Meta-regression (I2 = 85.1%, Tau-squared = 0.090, and adjusted *R*-square = 73.6\%) showed that, among various variables, study design was one significant contributor (p = 0.002) (Table 2).

<b>TABLE 2: Parameter</b>	estimation of meta-regression.
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Variable	Univariate		Multivariate	
	Coefficient	95% CI	Coefficient	95% CI
Publication year	0.07	(-0.02, 0.16)	0.04	(-0.06, 0.13)
Region	-0.49	(-1.23, 0.25)	-0.21	(-0.86, 0.43)
Sample size	-3.46e - 5	(-12.14e - 5, 5.23e - 5)	7.06e - 6	(-6.71e - 5, 8.12e - 5)
Study design	1.28	(0.54, 2.02)	1.10	(0.07, 2.14)
Confounder adjustment	0.34	(-0.32, 1.01)	0.10	(-0.53, 0.73)

95% CI indicates 95% confidence interval.

The sensitivity analysis indicated that the omission of any of the studies led to changes in estimates between 1.29 (95% CI: 1.10 to 1.51) and 1.41 (95% CI: 1.19 to 1.68) (Figure 5).

Study ID		OR (95% CI)	Weight (%)
Martines et al. 2015		12.14 (5.04, 29.23)	2.47
Park et al., 2014		1.15 (0.98, 1.36)	6.84
Park and Moon, 2014	+	1.00 (0.88, 1.15)	6.99
Chen, 2014		2.22 (1.07, 4.61)	3.11
Sogebi, 2013		11.90 (3.89, 36.18)	1.76
Mahboubi et al., 2013		1.00 (0.57, 1.78)	4.01
Loprinzi et al., 2013	++	0.73 (0.35, 1.53)	3.07
Melo et al., 2012		1.22 (0.83, 1.80)	5.30
Fujii et al., 2011		1.36 (1.17, 1.60)	6.88
Fujii et al., 2011	÷	1.52 (1.29, 1.79)	6.84
Nondahl et al., 2011		0.94 (0.73, 1.22)	6.26
Negrila-Mezei et al., 2011		3.93 (2.36, 6.54)	4.41
Günay et al., 2011		2.24 (1.39, 3.60)	4.64
Shargorodsky et al., 2010	•	1.64 (1.52, 1.77)	7.20
Michikawa et al., 2010		0.85 (0.64, 1.14)	6.03
Gopinath et al., 2010	++-	1.32 (0.85, 2.04)	4.92
Lasisi et al., 2010		1.40 (0.80, 2.50)	4.01
de Moraes Marchiori et al., 2009		0.74 (0.34, 1.59)	2.91
Nondahl et al., 2002		0.85 (0.67, 1.08)	6.39
Fasce et al., 2002		0.96 (0.71, 1.29)	5.96
Overall ( $I^2 = 87.7\%$ , $p = 0.000$ )	<b></b>	1.37 (1.16, 1.62)	100.00
Note: weights are from random effects analysis	0.5 1 1.5		

FIGURE 2: Forest plot of the associations between hypertension and tinnitus (OR and 95% CI indicate odds ratio and 95% confidence interval).

## Discussion

Tinnitus involves a large proportion of the general population and affects the quality of life and work efficiency. Most of tinnitus prevalence studies in Western Europe and USA have reported prevalence rates between 10% and 15% in the adult population [30]. For example, the largest study (n = 48313), which was undertaken as part of the National Study of Hearing in England, showed a prevalence of 10.1% among adults, with 2.8% of respondents describing it as moderately annoying, 1.6% as severely annoying, and 0.5% at a level severely affecting their normal life [31]. The mechanism of occurrence of tinnitus remains largely unknown; although several treatment strategies for tinnitus patients have been proposed, such as the tinnitus masking technique, pharma- cological therapy, and surgery, no single effective cure exists for tinnitus [32]. It is necessary and important to study the risk factors of tinnitus, which will be helpful for formulating specific prevention measures for its prevention. This study provided a quantitative meta-analysis of the association between hypertension

and tinnitus. The pooled OR was 1.37 (95% CI: 1.16 to 1.62), which supports that hypertension is significantly associated with tinnitus.

tinnitus, in the examined group 34% suffered from systemic diseases, and among them the highest percentage (47%) suffered from hypertension; Sogebi's study [20] showed that, among 79 patients having complaints of tinnitus, 15.2% were suffering from hypertension. There are also some studies about tinnitus in patients with hypertension; for instance, Borghi et al.'s study [34] showed that 17.6% patients (aged 18 to 75 years, with uncontrolled hypertension) reported occasional or prolonged spontaneous tinnitus and suggested that systemic blood pressure might have played a prominent role in some tinnitus patients; Cha<sup>´</sup>vez-Delgado et al. conducted a cross-sectional study of 385 patients with hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia with symptoms of hearing loss, vertigo, and tinnitus; the prevalence of tinnitus was 32% in patients with hypertension [35].

The pathophysiological mechanisms of tinnitus are still not clear. There are several possible mechanisms including increased spontaneous firing rate of neurons in the central auditory system, increased neural synchrony in the firing pattern across neurons in primary auditory cortex, and map reorganisation in the auditory modality [30]. The mecha- nisms underlying the relationship between hypertension and tinnitus are poorly understood. There are animal experiments [36, 37] indicating that hypertension may induce the occur- rence of tinnitus or aggravate preexisting tinnitus through two principle mechanisms, high blood pressure might cause damage to the cochlear microcirculation, and diverse antihy- pertensive drugs might lead to ototoxicity. Tachibana et al. [37] suggested that the primary target of hypertensive damage in the rat cochlea is the stria vascularis, which feeds the organ of Corti. Przewoz<sup>'</sup>ny et al. [38] suggested that the subclinical damage to the stria vascularis includes the decrease in the cochlear oxygen partial pressure and disturbance of the ionic K+ recycling. Borghi et al. [34] suggested that the presence of tinnitus is the consequence of

toxic damage of the labyrinth in most patients, which means that the onset of tinnitus might be an adverse event in patients treated with antihypertensive drugs. Another possibility pointed to the fact that when antihypertensive action exceeded the desired effects, subsequent abrupt hypotension would lead to cochlear hypoperfusion and circulatory impairment, which could disturb the sensorineural response, leading to the onset of tinnitus [34]. Clinical evidence also indicated an assoction between hypertension and tinnitus, which reinforces the hypothesis that alterations in the cochlear microcirculation, as causal or adjuvant factors in tinnitus pathophysiology, occur [39].

There is widespread recognition that consistency between research centers in the ways that patients with tinnitus are assessed and outcomes following interventions are measured would facilitate more effective cooperation and more mean- ingful evaluations and comparisons of outcomes [40]. But many studies still have methodological drawbacks, especially with production of an unambiguous definition of tinnitus and phrasing of appropriate epidemiological questions, which may be one of the main causes for the heterogeneity in the present study [30]. The other cause for heterogeneity of this research may include socioeconomic condition, ethnic differences, and public health service. Although the meta- analysis detected significant heterogeneity between studies, further sensitivity analyses and the exclusion of publication bias are in favor of a similar effect across the populations.

Hypertension is an important public health concern worldwide. Globally, the overall prevalence of hypertension

in adults aged 18 years and over was around 22% in 2014 [41]. Kearney et al.'s study [42] estimated that 29% (about 1.56 billion) of the world's adult population would have hypertension by 2025. Since hypertension is one of the high incidence chronic diseases and has large numbers of patients, we must pay attention to the fact that tinnitus may be caused by hypertension and consider the ototoxicity of

10

drugs for hypertension patients, which means that we may make a choice for hypertension medication to prevent tinnitus.

A few limitations should be noted. First, the included studies for this metaanalysis varied in the degree of con- trolling for potential confounders, such as age, gender, ethnicity, income, smoking, ear infecting, noise exposure, BMI, anemia, and hearing loss, only a few of the ORs were adjusted, and the adjusted ORs did not adjust for all the same factors. Second, the study design was either cross- sectional or case-control study; therefore it cannot determine temporal sequence and causality [43]. Third, our study did not investigate any causal mechanism of tinnitus and simply did quantitative analysis of the association between hypertension and tinnitus, this association may not be the direct causal role, and further studies are needed.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis suggests that hyper- tension might be a risk factor of tinnitus and should be considered in its prevention strategy.

#### Referances

[1] B. Langguth, P. M. Kreuzer, T. Kleinjung, and D. De Ridder, "Tinnitus: causes and clinical management," The Lancet Neu- rology, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 920–930, 2013.

[2] J. E. Lewis, S. D. G. Stephens, and L. McKenna, "Tinnitus and suicide," Clinical Otolaryngology & Allied Sciences, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 50–54, 1994.

[3] K. Thirunavukkarasu and C. Geetha, "One-year prevalence and risk factors of tinnitus in older individuals with otological problems," The International Tinnitus Journal, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 175–181, 2013.

[4] D. M. Nondahl, K. J. Cruickshanks, T. L. Wiley et al., "The ten- year incidence of tinnitus among older adults," International Journal of Audiology, vol. 49, no. 8, pp. 580–585, 2010.

[5] A. Negrila-Mezei, R. Enache, and C. Sarafoleanu, "Tinnitus in elderly population: clinic correlations and impact upon QoL," Journal of Medicine and Life, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 412–416, 2011.

[6] L. L. de Moraes Marchiori, "Tinnitus complaint and blood hypertension in the aging process," Revista Brasileira de Hipertensa o, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 5–8, 2009.

[7] O. Gu'nay, A. Borlu, D. Horoz, and I. Gu'n, "Tinnitus prevalence among the primary care patients in Kayseri, Turkiye," Erciyes Tip Dergisi, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 39–46, 2011.

[8] K.Fujii,C.Nagata,K.Nakamuraetal.,"Prevalenceoftinnitusin community-dwelling Japanese adults," Journal of Epidemiology, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 299–304, 2011.

[9] R. J. Park and J. D. Moon, "Prevalence and risk factors of tin- nitus: the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2010-2011, a cross-sectional study," Clinical Otolaryngol- ogy, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 89–94, 2014.

[10] A. O. Lasisi, T. Abiona, and O. Gureje, "Tinnitus in the elderly: profile, correlates, and impact in the Nigerian study of ageing," Otolaryngology: Head and Neck Surgery, vol. 143, no. 4, pp. 510–515, 2010.

[11] F.Tesfaye, P.Byass, and S.Wall, "Population based prevalence of high blood pressure among adults in Addis Ababa: uncovering a silent epidemic," BMC Cardiovascular Disorders, vol. 9, no. 1, article 39, 2009.

[12] R. DerSimonian and N. Laird, "Meta-analysis in clinical trials," Controlled Clinical Trials, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 177–188, 1986.

[13] J. P. T. Higgins, S. G. Thompson, J. J. Deeks, and D. G. Altman, "Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses," British Medical Journal, vol. 327, no. 7414, pp. 557–560, 2003.

[14] C. B. Begg and M. Mazumdar, "Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias," Biometrics, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 1088–1101, 1994.

[15] J. L. Peters, A. J. Sutton, D. R. Jones, K. R. Abrams, and L. Rush- ton, "Comparison of two methods to detect publication bias in meta-analysis," Journal of the American Medical Association, vol. 295, no. 6, pp. 676–680, 2006.

[16] F. Song, T. A. Sheldon, A. J. Sutton, K. R. Abrams, and D. R. Jones, "Methods for exploring heterogeneity in meta-analysis," Evaluation & the Health Professions, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 126–151, 2001.

[17] F.Martines, F.Sireci, E.Cannizzaroetal., "Clinicalobservations and risk factors for tinnitus in a Sicilian cohort," European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, vol. 272, no. 10, pp. 2719–2729, 2015.

[18] K. H. O. Park, S. H. W. Lee, J.-W. Koo et al., "Prevalence and associated factors of tinnitus: data from the Korean national health and nutrition examination survey 2009–2011," Journal of Epidemiology, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 417–426, 2014.

[19] Y. Chen, Analysis on Risk Factors of Idiopathic Tinnitus, Shanxi Medical University, 2014.

[20] O. A. Sogebi, "Characterization of tinnitus in Nigeria," Auris Nasus Larynx, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 356–360, 2013.

[21] H. Mahboubi, S. Oliaei, S. Kiumehr, S. Dwabe, and H. R. Djalilian, "The prevalence and characteristics of tinnitus in the youth population of the United States," The Laryngoscope, vol. 123, no. 8, pp. 2001–2008, 2013.

[22] P. D. Loprinzi, H. Lee, B. Gilham, and B. J. Cardinal, "Asso- ciation between accelerometer-assessed physical activity and tinnitus, NHANES 2005-2006," Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 177–185, 2013.

[23] J.J.Melo,C.L.Meneses, and L.L.D.M.Marchiori, "Prevalence of tinnitus in elderly individuals with and without history of occupational noise exposure," International Archives of Otorhi- nolaryngology, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 222–225, 2012.

[24] D. M. Nondahl, K. J. Cruickshanks, G.-H. Huang et al., "Tin- nitus and its risk factors in the Beaver Dam Offspring study," International Journal of Audiology, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 313–320, 2011.

[25] J. Shargorodsky, G. C. Curhan, and W. R. Farwell, "Prevalence and characteristics of tinnitus among US adults," The American Journal of Medicine, vol. 123, no. 8, pp. 711–718, 2010.

[26] T. Michikawa, Y. Nishiwaki, Y. Kikuchi et al., "Prevalence and factors associated with tinnitus: a community-based study of Japanese elders," Journal of Epidemiology, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 271–276, 2010.

[27] B. Gopinath, C. M. McMahon, E. Rochtchina, M. J. Karpa, and P. Mitchell, "Risk factors and impacts of incident tinnitus in older adults," Annals of Epidemiology, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 129–135, 2010.

[28] D. M. Nondahl, K. J. Cruickshanks, T. L. Wiley, R. Klein, B. E. K. Klein, and T. S. Tweed, "Prevalence and 5-year incidence of tinnitus among older adults: the epidemiology of hearing loss study," Journal of the American Academy of Audiology, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 323–331, 2002.

[29] E. Fasce, M. Flores, and F. Fasce, "Prevalence of symptoms associated with blood pressure in normal and hypertensive population," Revista Medica de Chile, vol. 130, no. 2, pp. 160–166, 2002.

[30] D. Baguley, D. McFerran, and D. Hall, "Tinnitus," The Lancet, vol. 382, no. 9904, pp. 1600–1607, 2013.

[31] A. Davis and E. A. Rafaie, "Epidemiology of tinnitus," in Tinnitus Handbook, R. S. Tyler, Ed., pp. 1–23, Singular, San Diego, Calif, USA, 2000.

[32] N.AhmadandM.Seidman,"Tinnitusintheolderadult,"Drugs & Aging, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 297-305, 2004.

[33] K. Nowak, J. Banaszewski, P. Dabrowski, E. Szymiec, and W. Szyfter, "Tinnitus in systemic diseases," Otolaryngologia Polska, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 213–216, 2002.

[34] C. Borghi, C. Brandolini, M. G. Prandin, A. Dormi, G. C. Modugno, and A. Pirodda, "Prevalence of tinnitus in patients withhypertension and the impact of different anti hypertensive drugs on the incidence of tinnitus: a prospective, single-blind, observational study," Current Therapeutic Research, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 420–432, 2005.
[35] M. E. Cha'vez-Delgado, I. Va'zquez-Granados, M. Rosales- Corte's, and V. Velasco-Rodr'iguez, "Cochleovestibular dys- function in patients with diabetes mellitus, hypertension and dyslipidemia," Acta Otorrinolaringologica Espanola, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 93–101, 2012.

[36] E. Borg and A. R. Moller, "Noise and blood pressure: effect of lifelong exposure in the rat," Acta Physiologica Scandinavica, vol. 103, no. 3, pp. 340–342, 1978.

[37] M. Tachibana, I. Yamamichi, S. Nakae, Y. Hirasugi, M. M. And, and O. Mizukoshi, "The site of involvement of hypertension within the cochlea: a comparative study of normotensive and spontaneously hypertensive rats," Acta Oto-Laryngologica, vol. 97, no. 3-4, pp. 257–265, 1984.

[38] T. Przewoz<sup>'</sup>ny, A. Go<sup>'</sup>jska-Grymajło, M. Kwarciany, D. Gąsecki, and K. Narkiewicz, "Hypertension and cochlear hearing loss," Blood Pressure, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 199–205, 2015.

[39] R. R. Figueiredo, A. A. de Azevedo, and N. de Oliveira Penido, "Tinnitus and arterial hypertension: a systematic review," Euro- pean Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, vol. 272, no. 11, pp. 3089–3094, 2015.

[40] B. Langguth, R. Goodey, A. Azevedo et al., "Consensus for tin- nitus patient assessment and treatment outcome measurement: tinnitus research initiative meeting, regensburg, July 2006," Progress in Brain Research, vol. 166, pp. 525–536, 2007.

[41] World Health Organization, Global Status Report on Non- communicable Diseases 2014, World Health Organization,

Geneva, Switzerland, 2014, http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/

10665/148114/1/9789241564854 eng.pdf.

[42] P. M. Kearney, M. Whelton, K. Reynolds, P. Muntner, P. K.

Whelton, and J. He, "Global burden of hypertension: analysis of worldwide data," The Lancet, vol. 365, no. 9455, pp. 217–223, 2005.

[43] F. P. Cappuccio, F. M. Taggart, N.-B. Kandala et al., "Meta- analysis of short sleep duration and obesity in children and adults," Sleep, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 619–626, 2008.